

"SECOND COPY"

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/886,536	SOKOLOV ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	Apu M Mofiz	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) R. Mahboubian.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Apu M. Mofiz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,003,038.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1,9 and 14 were discussed. The substance of these claims combined is believed to be allowable, but a further search is needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/886,536	SOKOLOV ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Apu M Mofiz	2165

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) R. Mahboubian.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Apu M Mofiz.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

14-17 and 21-35

Prior art documents discussed:

None

#### Part II.


##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner and the Applicant agreed to insert "computer implemented" in the preamble of claim 1 and remove "TM" from claims 14-17 and 21-35*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)